

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/501,338	SUGIURA, SATOSHI	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JIMMY H. NGUYEN	2629	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) JIMMY H. NGUYEN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wan-Ching Montfort (applicant's rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 February 2008

**Time:** 11:35 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 20, 22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 10, 20, 22 and 23 so as to make these claims consistent with the original disclosure, specifically Figs. 2a-2b. The amendment to these claims is provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)